

# Town of Clifton Park

One Town Hall Plaza  
Clifton Park, New York 12065  
(518) 371-6054 FAX (518)371-1136

## PLANNING BOARD

STEVEN BULGER  
Chairman

PAUL PELAGALLI  
Attorney

MARGARET SPRINGLI  
Secretary



MEMBERS  
Michael Hale  
Joel Koval  
Eric Ophardt  
Sandra Pace  
Kim Paulsen  
Tom Werner

(alternate) Emad Andarawis

## Planning Board Minutes January 12, 2010

Those present at the January 12, 2010 Planning Board meeting were:

Planning Board: S. Bulger, Chairman, M. Hale, J. Koval, E. Ophardt,  
S. Pace, K. Paulsen,  
Emad Andarawis – Alternate

Those absent were: T. Werner

Those also present were: J. Scavo, Director of Planning;  
J. Grasso, Clough, Harbour and Associates;  
M. Montague, Environmental Specialist;  
P. Pelagalli, Counsel;  
M. Springli, Secretary

Mr. Bulger, Chairman, called the meeting to order at 7:07p.m. Mr. Bulger explained that two items have been pulled from the agenda at the request of the applicants. Mr. Bulger welcomed Mr. Andarawis to the Planning Board serving in the capacity as an alternate Board Member. Mr. Bulger then noted that, Mr. Andarawis will be filling in for Tom Werner tonight as a full Planning Board Member in Mr. Werner's absence. Joel was reappointed as vice chairman. Mr. Bulger introduced those in attendance. All in attendance stood for recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance as led by Sandra Pace.

### **I. Public Hearings:**

7:00 pm [2009-024] **Hoffman Subdivision** – Proposed (2) lot subdivision, Meyer Road – Continuation of a public hearing on 12/8/09 and possible determination. [SBL: 266.3-1-1.1](#)

Mr. Bulger, Chairman, called the public hearing to order at 7:11 p.m. The Secretary read the public hearing notice as published in the Daily Gazette on December 3, 2009.



Mr. Andrew Kosiba, as a representative for the applicant, presented this application and noted that the applicant proposes to merge two existing parcels and resubdivide them so each lot could be a buildable lot for estate purposes – 3 acres and 4 ½ acres respectively. He then stated that the existing business on the southernmost lot will remain and there are no plans that currently exist for development on either parcel.

Mr. Scavo offered stated that there were no additional comments offered by the Planning Department. However, the Saratoga County Planning Board, while making a recommendation of approval, has submitted some comments that Mr. Grasso will explain to the Board.

Mr. Grasso reported that CHA Companies provided the following comments regarding this application. The engineer, commented that in agreement with the Saratoga County Planning Board's recommendation, it would be advisable to install a common driveway access and one curb cut at the common property line and suggested creating a common access easement on the subdivision plan now so each lot is encumbered at a this time.

Mr. Myers provided the following written comments that were read by Mr. Scavo regarding this application. The front setbacks along Rt 9 would be 80' from the property line rather than 130' from the centerline of Rt 9 since the 80' is more restrictive.

Mr. Scavo noted that Ms. Sheryl Reed had no written comments.

Mr. Mike Montague, of the Environmental Conservation Commission, reported that, after review of the project plan, the Commission had no comments.

## PUBLIC COMMENTS

Pam Marshall, Fairlawn Court, wanted to know what the status was of the small road after the last meeting.

Mr. Kosiba stated that Meyer Road adjacent to the proposed subdivision is a town road according to the findings of the applicant and the Planning Department.

There being no further public comment, Mr. Bulger moved, seconded by Mrs. Pace to close the public hearing at 7:16pm. The motion was unanimously carried.

Mr. Hale asked if a notation could be shown on the plan regarding the shared access for any future uses on either lot that may be proposed.

Mrs. Paulsen asked what would happen to existing ingress and egress. The Consultant for the applicant asked that two separate entries between the two parcels would be requested as long as existing business remains operable.



Mrs. Paulsen asked that notations be added to the plan that in the future, if the current business leaves the site a common curbcut access easement will be utilized. Mr. Grasso stated a common curbcut would be expected and site plan review would be required to determine if the existing access should even remain. However, the applicant is being asked to establish the common access easement in the subdivision plan and not a common curbcut at this point in time with no additional development currently proposed.

Mr. Scavo reiterated previous notes from Mr. Steve Myers that zoning does not currently allow the construction of a new structure on the same lot as a pre-existing non-conforming structure without variances granted from the Zoning Board of Appeals, so demolition of the existing pre-existing non-conforming structure would be required if new construction is planned under our current Town Code.

Mr. Hale moved, seconded by Mr. Ophardt, to establish the Planning Board as Lead Agency for the this application, an unlisted action, and to issue a negative declaration pursuant to SEQR. The motion was unanimously carried.

Mr. Bulger moved, seconded by Mr. Koval, to grant preliminary and final conditional approval based on satisfying all conditions as outlined per the subdivision plan by the recommendations of the Planning Board and Professional Staff which is to include a notation on the subdivision plan to establish a common access easement by which a future consolidated curb-cut may be developed. Ayes: Bulger, Hale, Koval, Ophardt, Pace, Paulsen Noes: None Abstain: Andrawis.

**II. Old Business:**

None

**III. New Business:**

- A. [2010-001] **Lands of de-Aprix** - Proposed (2) lot subdivision , 940 Main Street, - Conceptual subdivision review. [SBL: 258.-2-34.1](#)

Mr. Dwayne Rabideau, as a representative for the applicant, presented this application. Mr. Rabideau indicated its location on the map. He explained that the applicant wants to subdivide the parcel into two residential lots. Mr. Rabideau stated that one lot would be 3.63 acre with existing home and the other would be 1 acre for a potential new home. Area variances were granted by ZBA on 12/1/2009. The representative stated that comments were received in his office from CHA and have been addressed on the current subdivision plan including the septic system. The representative stated that both parcels are serviced by public water. Mr. Rabideau explained that test pits and perc tests were performed and that information will be shared with the Planning Board.



Mr. Scavo noted that Bill Mackesey, Chairman for the Open Space Trails Subcommittee, recommended that the Planning Board should consider requesting a 15' Right-Of-Way on Main Street. In time, there is a plan to connect Main Street to the Town of Clifton Park Trail Network. The local residents would use this trail to get to the Jonesville Fire House during the summer. This is where the Town of Clifton Park has their summer recreation program. This would be a natural extension of the Longkill Road Trail, which we would like to have on both sides of the road. It should be noted this is, the same side of the Golf Course and just to the northwest and the entrance is to the west on Main Street. George Smith Park is to the west of this site and this would provide a space walking path for children.

Mr. Scavo offered comments prepared by the Planning Department. The Planning Staff recommends that Tax Map ID #258.-2-34.11 for the master parcel should be referenced in the subdivision title.

Mr. Myers provided the following written comments that were read by Mr. Scavo regarding this application. All necessary area variances required for the proposed subdivision have been granted by the Zoning Board of Appeals at its December 1, 2009 meeting.

Mr. Scavo read comments into the record from Historic Preservation Commission from a letter written by Mark Kazmierczak entered into the Project File.

Mr. Scavo noted that Ms. Sheryl Reed had no written comments.

Mr. Grasso reported that CHA Companies provided the following comments regarding this application.

1. The proposed project appears to be an "Unlisted" action pursuant to SEQRA, and as such, coordinated review is optional. The involved agencies are expected to include the following:
  - Clifton Park Planning Board - Subdivision Approval
  - Clifton Park Zoning Board of Appeals - Area Variances
  - Clifton Park Water Authority – Water Connection Permit
2. The application materials indicate an area variance was granted for relief from the 200' minimum lot width.
3. The location of the existing septic system on 940 Main Street should be depicted on the plan.
4. Test pits and percolation tests should be performed in the location of the proposed septic system.
5. The well and septic system on the lot to the north should be depicted on the plan.



Mr. Mike Montague, of the Environmental Conservation Commission, reported that, after review of the project plan, the Commission had no comments.

#### Board Comments

Mr. Bulger remarked that the Board should discuss the letter from Historic Preservation, the Right of Way and also the setback line.

Mr. Hale asked if there was any discussion about the setback previously. Mr. Rabideau commented that because it is a special road requiring 100' per our Town Code, the variance for the lot was for the width at setback. Mr. Hale commented that he would support the applicant seeking an area variance for the setback. Mr. Koval stated that he agreed but wondered if the Planning Board has the ability to mandate the setbacks and façade style of the proposed homestyles of the houses on Main Street because many of the homes in the past years have not been built to a historic standard. Mr. Hale suggested that the Planning Board could recommend it to the applicant but not require a setback less than what our code requires and consultation with historic preservation on the architectural and façade style of the new home.

Mr. Pelagalli asked if it was a historic district in Town code.

Mr. Kazmierczak, Chairman of the Town's Historic Preservation Commission stated that it was not, but he commented that Dickinson subdivision further down on Main Street was asked required on the site plan to provide a design to fit the character of the neighborhood for the two proposed homes fronting on Main Street. He comments that builders could agree to consider such recommendations and that since it is at the conceptual stage now, there is time to go back and mandate these requirements under the conditions of an area variance which have already been granted by the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Mrs. Pace asked to be reminded about the other project on Main Street. Mr. Kazmierczak explained that the developer of the current Preston Court subdivision (formerly known as Dickinson) did note on the site plan that the two lots fronting Main Street would be compatible with the neighborhood and it was agreed to by the developer during the Planning Board subdivision review process. Mr. Bulger commented that a recommendation could be made to consult with Historic Preservation Committee on exterior details as per prior precedence but a requirement of the applicant can only be enforceable if the applicant agrees to place such note on the approved site plan. Mr. Rabideau stated that he will discuss the notation with the applicant to require them to consult with the Historic Preservation Commission on the façade and exterior style prior to receiving a building permit for the new home.



Mr. Bulger stated that he supported recommending the applicant consult with the Historic Preservation Commission on exterior details but could not demand it as a requirement if the subdivision complies with our Town Code and without a designated historic district for the Jonesville area. Mr. Bulger asked if Board would recommend the notation and also the setback variance.

Mr. Ophardt commented that the setback requirement would be logical if the Planning Board recommends fitting in with the surrounding community character. Mr. Hale commented that a letter of support from Board might help with the ZBA. The Planning Board stated that they were in general agreement with the concept but would like to see the setback addressed as well as recommendations for compatibility considered in future submissions.

Mr. Bulger commented that Trails Committee requested a 15' Right of Way. Mr. Scavo stated that he thought it might be asking a lot of adjacent properties that are so close to the road for an additional 15' Right of Way if a future trail was every developed. Mr. Grasso suggested working within the existing Right of Way along the side of the road. Mr. Scavo stated that a sidewalk with curb could be accommodated easily there. Mr. Bulger suggested that a notation could be made on plan for possibility of future sidewalk in the existing Right of Way so future homeowner(s) can see notation.

Pam Marshall, Fairlawn Court, asked if Hamlet Zoning was allowed for keeping in characteristic of the town.

Mr. Pelagalli noted that hamlet mixed-use zoning is a term that is used by many of the public to identify the Jonesville area but this subdivision is not necessarily in the Hamlet Zoning Mixed-Use District.

Mr. Scavo stated that the property is located it is in the R-1 Residential Zoning District.

Mr. Pelagalli stated the Planning Board could ask that modifying zoning language be suggested to the Town Board for the Town Code for future zoning as a special use within Jonesville. The criteria for a Special Use Permit would allow the Planning Board to consider and require special conditions be met under the approval to ensure future proposed project conform with the existing character of the neighborhood and will not be a determinant to neighboring properties.

B. [2010-004] **Park Dental Care** – Proposed construction of a dental office, 923 Route 146, Conceptual site plan review. [SBL: 271.-2-24.1](#)

Mr. Gavin Villaume, as a representative for the applicant, who was present along with the architect, presented this application. He indicated the property on the GIS map. Mr. Villaume stated that there is an existing structure on the property and that the Historic Preservation Commission will be allowed by the applicant to review the interior of the



building although it appears to have been modified so many times over the years that it does not have any historic significance. Mr. Villaume commented that it is currently planned to be used as part of the new business although the existing driveway accessing the site would be removed and placed on Bruno Road rather than NYS Route 146. The representative commented that there is fill in the land that has been deposited there long before the current owner acquired the property. Mr. Villaume commented that the applicant has discontinued the practice of dumping and acknowledged that he will need to remediate any contamination. The consultant commented that soil conditions will be examined if necessary, as he passed out a Soil Study done in 2007 that he submitted for the record. Mr. Villaume acknowledge that the Soils Study studied structural stability of the site but does not address potential contaminants and a contaminant examination study has not been done to date. The speaker explained that the applicant would be willing to have that done if desired by the Planning Board. He also explained that wetland delineations have been done showing drainage to streams that lead to the Dwaaskill and that this project would not impact the sensitivity of the area trout spawning stream. Mr. Villaume explained that access would be pushed on Bruno Road as far North as possible to allow for future improvements to the intersection. The speaker stated that the building does exceed the size on a typical B-1 lot but felt that it would be better to consolidate SF on the site away from the possible contaminated areas of the site compromised by fill materials which would contain the parking lot. The speaker also stated that at the next preliminary meeting, the architect could provide renderings in keeping with area.

Mr. Scavo offered comments prepared by the Planning Department. Mr. Scavo state that he would like the Planning Board and applicant to pay special attention to adequate shading over the Stormwater Management Area. Mr. Scavo noted that he was concerned about possible thermal impacts to the Trout Stream if appropriate measures to control water temperature from the Stormwater Management Discharge Area weren't thoroughly examined. Mr. Scavo noted that the stream has been identified as having spawning brook trout which are considered to be the canary of the Trout family and they react negatively to even the smallest ecological changes to their habitat. Mr. Scavo noted that attention to thermal impacts is especially important after large and fast rainfall events during the hot summer months. Mr. Scavo felt that with adequate shading the applicant should be able to mitigate any potential negative impacts and he will review future submittals for compliance.

Mr. Scavo noted that Bill Mackesey, Chairman for the Open Space Trails Sub-Committee, recommended that the Planning Board should consider requesting a 15' Right-of-way along Bruno Road and an 8' Right-of-way along NYS Route 146.

Mr. Myers provided the following written comments that were read by Mr. Scavo regarding this application.

1. Existing property has complaints as far back as 1992 about illegal dumping on site. The dumping was ordered to be cleaned up but no verification it was completed can be found. Confirmation that the site is currently free from debris and dumped organic material should be required.



2. The proposed stormwater area is very close to the existing stream and raises concerns about how will the stream be protected during construction. A full SWPPP outlining what will be done should be submitted for review prior to any final approvals on building permits.
3. The existing house was originally built in 1850, but has been significantly modified. A review by the Historic Preservation Commission will be required prior to the issuance of any permits.
4. Several variances will be required prior to the issuance of any permits.

Mr. Scavo read notes from Historic Preservation Commission from a letter dated 12/30/2009 held in the Project Files.

Mr. Scavo noted that Ms. Sheryl Reed had no written comments.

Mr. Grasso reported that Clough, Harbour, and Associates provided the following comments regarding this application.

1. The proposed project appears to be an “Unlisted” action pursuant to SEQRA, and as such, coordinated review is optional. Involved agencies are expected to include the following:
  - Clifton Park Planning Board – Site Plan
  - Clifton Park Zoning Board of Appeals – Area Variance
  - Saratoga County Planning Board – Section 239 Referral
  - NYSDOT – Highway Work Permit (for closing curb cut)
2. The proposed project will result in additional traffic in the vicinity of the project site. As the Board will recall, poor levels of service have been documented in this area (along Route 146 from Vischer’s Ferry Road on the west to Maxwell Drive on the east) in traffic studies. The development along Route 146 is typically small office buildings or complexes as allowed by the zoning. As a result, the projects individually will generally not have a significant impact on traffic. Construction of many of these small projects over time, however, will continue to have a significant cumulative impact on traffic conditions, as well as the need for greater pedestrian accommodations. In order to address these cumulative impacts on the corridor, other approved office projects along Route 146 have provided voluntary contributions. Those funds are intended for future highway or pedestrian improvements or long range traffic planning studies for this corridor. In order for this project to mitigate its fair share of the



cumulative traffic related impacts, we recommend the applicant offer an equitable contribution toward these future improvements or studies.

3. We support the closing of the curb cut along Route 146. Review and comment from NYSDOT during the planning stages of the project should be sought by the applicant with copies of all correspondence provided to the Town. The closing of the curb cut should include reconstruction of the curb across the existing curb cut opening.
4. Any federal wetlands and the limits of the LC-Land Conservation zone should be shown.
5. Potential traffic improvements along the Route 146 corridor include a signal at the Bruno Road intersection. The proposed site entrance should be located as far north as practical to accommodate a potential future signal and subsequent queuing on Bruno Road. A sidewalk that extends to either a future sidewalk on the north side of Route 146 or to Bruno Road should also be considered.
6. In accordance with the NYS Building Code medical facilities require passenger loading zones in conformance with ICC/ANSI A117.1, and rehabilitation facilities and outpatient physical therapy facilities require 20% of patient and visitor parking spaces to be handicapped accessible.
7. The site appears to have received significantly fill in recent years. Test pits or borings should be performed within the limit of the fill area to identify the type of fill material. The suitability of the material and slope to support the proposed improvements should be verified by a geotechnical engineer. Additionally, it should be verified that wetlands or wetland adjacent areas were not impacted as a result of the fill placement.
8. The specific details of the proposed house renovation should be discussed with the Planning Board.
9. The proposed building size (7,500 sf) will require an area variance as the maximum building size in the B-1 zone is 4,800 sf. Many buildings in the vicinity of the project have been constructed in recent years that have maintained the 4,800 sf maximum footprint and as such we are concerned that the proposed building will be out of character with respect to the existing area and recently constructed projects.
10. A conceptual grading plan of the stormwater management area should be provided. We are concerned with the potential grading impacts on the existing stream corridor and the constructability of the basin on the steep slope.
11. A wetland delineation and jurisdictional determination should be provided.



12. The plan should depict the driveways located along the east side of Bruno Road.
13. The dumpster location is proposed within the most visible portion of the site along Bruno Road and Route 146. Consideration should be given to relocating the enclosure to a less visible area of the site.

Mr. Mike Montague, of the Environmental Conservation Commission, reported that, after review of the project plan, the Commission issued the following recommendation for the Planning Board's consideration:

1. The Applicant should correct their SEQRA EAF to indicate that solid waste and potentially petroleum products may have been dumped on the site.
2. A Phase I and II Environmental Site Assessment and testing should be conducted on the site to ascertain the nature and extent of the dumping prior to any approvals.
3. A field survey should be conducted by a qualified professional to identify the location of the Land Conservation Zone along the two streams and ACOE wetlands that appear to extend onto the project site. The limits of the LC Zone and Federal Jurisdictional Wetlands should be identified on the plot plan.
4. Relocate the new structure to be parallel to Route 146, to the east of the existing building, with parking in the rear. Thus, the stormwater management area can be moved further away from the existing stream.
5. The proposed improvements on the site should take into account the environmentally sensitive characteristics and unique features associated with the Dwaas Kill.



## BOARD COMMENT

Mr. Bulger asked Mr. Kazmierczak and Mrs. Pace to clarify their intentions as representatives of the Historic Preservation Commission. Mr. Kazmierczak stated that the exterior has been changed a lot and documented but the Historic Preservation Commission he has a prior letter from the applicant agreeing to allow access to the interior of the building to document it. Mr. Kazmierczak commented that that would be the extent of their participation because of the numerous changes which have occurred. Mrs. Pace concurred with Mr. Kazmierczak's statement.

Mr. Hale commented that in character with the area, he would like to see a building on the east of the lot and the parking lot to the west but commented that the problems with the lot could make that prohibitive.

Mr. Villaume commented that the site assessment would help to determine feasibility.

Mr. Koval agreed with the recommendation to explore the different configuration.

Mr. Villaume stated that it would require a variance but that they could explore it.

Mr. Ophardt commented that it could be an opportunity to fix a corner that is an eyesore in town with the building moved up and parking lots in the back.

Mr. Koval remarked this is a recurring request so the building is the dominant feature rather than the asphalt.

Since ZBA variances would be required, Mr. Bulger stated that he will draft a letter of support regarding this particular request as a change in zoning from the Planning Board to the ZBA to put on as a Discussion Item at the next Planning Board meeting.

Mr. Scavo remarked that such a recommendation had been drafted in the TIP grant for CDT.

Dan Hartnett asked if a Right of Way could be granted on Bruno Road. Mr. Villaume commented that might be possible.

Mr. Bulger commented about the voluntary contribution as made by other similar projects along Route 146 to address improvements to be made in future with D.O.T. He addressed the size of the building and stated that a couple other projects larger than 4,800 SF have been approved by the Board. He explained that each site has its own merits that need to be examined but that some concessions would be expected in order to justify that. The architect for the project commented that the option that is now proposed is more of a



village type feel with a series of interconnected buildings with glass connectors and tried to fit that onto the site.

The architect commented that they are looking to put in a beautiful building and will consider the suggestions as proposed by Planning Board.

Mr. Bulger stated that in the past, the NYSDOT has not wanted to slow down traffic with a light at Bruno Road, but board members agreed that the 4 to 5 projects approved in this area might warrant another look. Mr. Villaume stated that NYSDOT would have to agree to the project anyway and will look at it.

Mrs. Pace suggested that trying to incorporate some architectural elements from the existing historical building on the site might be desirable. The architecture stated the condition of the existing house might affect that but they would be willing to take a look at it.

Mrs. Margaret Catellier, Royal Oak Drive, asked what the existing building would be used for. The architecture stated that would be the support staff break/office space while the new building joined by connecting space would house the actual dental practice.

Mr. Scavo commented that the report done by Fred Dente of Dente Engineering appears to be very comprehensive and was well written with practical guidance for future steps to development on sites with known fill materials and should be used as guide to what additional environmental assessment may be required.

The Board found the plan generally acceptable but would like to see some of the current recommendations incorporated into the plan.

~~C. [2010-003] **Greek Revival Building**—Proposed construction of a Greek Revival Style Building, 37 Old Route 146, — Conceptual site plan review. SBL: 258.-2-34.1~~ *Pulled at applicant's request and rescheduled for 1/26/2010*

**IV. Minutes Approval:** Mr. Bulger moved, seconded by Mrs. Paulsen to approve the minutes of December 8, 2009. Ayes: Noes: none Abstain: Andarawis

**V. Discussion Items:** Northstar Church extension requested as per conditional approval of a Special Use Permit granted 1/13/2009 SBL: 264.-2-2

Mr. Scavo explained that this is a Special Use Permit and a condition was added to the original application that this project be revisited annually. He stated that a condition of the special use permit was to submit for site plan approval within one year. The Director recommend granting a one-year extension to expire on 1/12/2011 to submit a site plan so the Planning Board can assess progress being made toward the SUP.



Mr. Bulger moved, seconded by Mr. Koval to grant the one year extension of the Special Use Permit to NorthStar Church with an expiry date of January 12, 2011 to submit Site Plan Approval. Further extensions must be requested 30 days prior for consideration by the Planning Board. The motion was unanimously carried.

Mr. Scavo noted that he registered everyone to the Planning and Zoning Conference in Saratoga. Cancellations can be made up until 1/22/10 without charge. The conference satisfies training requirements for code. Mr. Bulger stated that February 3<sup>rd</sup> at 6:00 pm is Ethics Training.

Mr. Hale stated that a presentation on complete streets is being worked on to present to the Town Board.

Ethics Forms were signed by Board members and notarized by Mr. Pelagalli for submittal to the Town Clerk.

Mr. Bulger moved, seconded by Pace, adjournment of the meeting at 8:27p.m. The motion was unanimously carried. The next meeting of the Planning Board will be held as scheduled on January 26, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

Margaret L. Springli



January 20, 2010

Hoffman Subdivision  
Meyer Road  
Clifton Park, NY 12065

Re: Notice of Decision  
For Project No. 2009-024  
SBL: 266.3-1-1.1  
Town of Clifton Park, New York

NOTICE OF DECISION

At a meeting of the Planning Board held on January 12, 2010 the Planning Board of the Town of Clifton Park established itself as Lead Agency for this application, an unlisted action, and issued a negative declaration pursuant to SEQRA. The Planning Board also voted to grant preliminary and final approval to Margaret Hoffman for a (2) lot subdivision. This approval was granted conditioned upon satisfaction of the comments offered by the Planning Department, CHA Companies, Town Staff and the Planning Board to include a notation on the subdivision plan to establish a common access easement by which a future consolidated curb-cut may be developed as well as any other recommendations outlined per the review letter to be issued prior to stamping of the plans.

Respectfully,

Meg Springli  
Acting Planning Board Secretary

Cc: Patricia O'Donnell, Town Clerk

cc: Planning Board Members, Planning Department, CHA Companies, Supervisor, Town Administrator, Assessor, Zoning Board, Department of Building and Development, Town Clerk, Town Board Members, Highway Superintendent, Lou Renzi, Town Attorney, Tom McCarthy, Town Attorney, Paul Pelagalli, Town Attorney, ECC, Clifton Park Water Authority